

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Ŋ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,394	08/22/2003	Mark P. Helsel	MVIS 98-09C3	3672
7590 06/18/2004			EXAMINER	
Clarence T. Tegreene, Esq.			SPECTOR, DAVID N	
Microvision, Inc. 19910 North Creek Parkway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO Box 3008			2873	
Bothell, WA 98011			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/647,394	HELSEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	David N. Spector	2873
Th MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h th correspondenc address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a of the priod for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT itute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ T 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 34-53 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 34,39,40,50 and 51 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) 35-38,41-49, and 52-53 is/are objection are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration ected to.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj he drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0803</u>. 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting Rejection

- 1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969). A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b). Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 2. Claims 34, 39, 40, 50 and 51 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,654,158 (hereinafter '158). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for the following reasons.
- (a) In regard to claim 34 Claims 1, 4, and 5 of the '158 patent recite a microelectromechanical scanner, comprising: a substrate (e.g. the "central plate" recited in the second line of claim 1 of the '158 patent is subsequently identified as a "substrate" in the second line of claim 4 therein); an oscillatory body (e.g. in the form of a mirror) carried by the substrate and coupled to the substrate for periodic movement along a movement path by a set of primary arms (e.g. in the form of a torsional member extending from each end of said substrate as recited in the body of claim 4); an actuator coupled to the oscillatory body and configured to drive the oscillatory body along the movement path (e.g. in the form of an actuator positioned to provide motive force for the central plate, as recited in the second and third lines of claim 5); and at least one mass formed on the oscillatory body in an asymmetric distribution about a centerline of the oscillatory body, the at least one mass being formed to create a periodic movement component orthogonal to the periodic movement path defined by the set of primary arms (e.g. as recited in

Application/Control Number: 10/647,394

Art Unit: 2873

the last seven lines of claim 5 of the '158 patent). Claim 34 of the instant application is therefore unpatentable over claims 1, 4, and 5 of the '158 patent.

- (b) In regard to claim 39 Claim 3 of the '158 patent recite a microelectromechanical scanner according to claim 34 from which claim 39 depends; wherein the periodic movement component has twice the resonant frequency of the periodic movement along the movement path defined by the primary arms. Claim 39 of the instant application is therefore unpatentable over claims 1, 4, and 5 of the '158 patent.
- In regard to claim 40 Claims 1, 4, and 5 of the '158 patent recite a microelectrome-(c) chanical scanner, comprising: a substrate(e.g. the "central plate" recited in the second line of claim 1 of the '158 patent is subsequently identified as a "substrate" in the second line of claim 4 therein); an oscillatory body (e.g. in the form of a mirror) carried by the substrate and coupled to the substrate for periodic movement along a primary periodic movement path by a set of primary arms (e.g. in the form of a torsional member extending from each end of said substrate as recited in the body of claim 4); an actuator coupled to the oscillatory body and configured to drive the oscillatory body along the primary periodic movement path (e.g. in the form of an actuator positioned to provide motive force for the central plate, as recited in the second and third lines of claim 5); and an array of mass locations on the oscillatory body, the mass locations comprising a pre-determined set of locations for placement of one or more masses for inducement of a secondary periodic movement orthogonal to the primary periodic movement path (e.g. as recited in the last seven lines of claim 5 of the '158 patent). Claim 34 of the instant application is therefore unpatentable over claims 1, 4, and 5 of the '158 patent. Claim 40 of the instant application is therefore unpatentable over claims 1, 4, and 5 of the '158 patent.
- (d) In regard to claim 50 Claims 1, 4, 5 and 7 of the '158 patent recites a optical scanning apparatus (e.g. in the form of a beam scanning apparatus as recited in claim 5 of the '158 patent), comprising a beam source (e.g. a light source); and a beam director aligned to direct a periodically scanned beam across a two-dimensional field-of-view (e.g. scanning a light beam through a raster pattern having a line rate and a refresh rate as recited in claim 7 of the '158 patent); the beam director comprising a substrate (e.g. the "central plate" recited in the second line of claim 1 of the '158 patent is subsequently identified as a "substrate" in the second line of claim 4 therein); an oscillatory body (e.g. in the form of a mirror) having an asymmetric mass distribution carried by the substrate and coupled to the substrate for movement about a fast scan axis (e.g. the line rate axis) and an orthogonal slow scan axis (e.g. the refresh rate axis);

Application/Control Number: 10/647,394

Art Unit: 2873

and an actuator coupled to the oscillatory body and configured to drive the oscillatory body along the fast scan movement path periodically and slow scan movement path substantially linearly (e.g. in the form of an actuator positioned to provide motive force for the central plate, as recited in the second and third lines of claim 5); the asymmetric mass distribution of the oscillatory body being formed to create a periodic movement component orthogonal to the periodic fast scan movement path. (e.g. as recited in the last seven lines of claim 5 of the '158 patent). Claim 50 of the instant application is therefore unpatentable over claims 1, 4, 5, and 7 of the '158 patent.

(e) In regard to claim 51 Claims 1, 4, 5 and 7 of the '158 patent recite a beam scanning apparatus according to claim 50 from which claim 51 depends; wherein: the asymmetric mass distribution of the oscillatory body is selected to create a periodic movement component having substantially twice the frequency of the orthogonal fast scan movement (as recited in claim 6 of the '158 patent). Claim 51 of the instant application is therefore unpatentable over claims 1, 4, 5, 6, and 7 of the '158 patent.

Other Remarks/Information

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David N. Spector whose telephone number is (571) 272-2338. The examiner can normally be reached at this number Monday through Friday between 6:00 AM and 2:30 PM. The fax number for the organization where this application is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

June 16, 2004

David N. Spector PRIMARY EXAMINER